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Objectives
- Understand which factors determine initiation of a river diversion;
- Quantify pacing and morphology of sedimentation generically;
- Assess quantitatively the effect of sedimentation on upstream flood water levels.

Study location

Historical reconstruction
1. Dewatering at low tide → peat compaction (10th-15th century)
2. Civil war going on → poor dike maintenance (14th-15th century)
3. Two floods from the Rhine, two inundations from the North Sea (1421-1424). Deltaic splay developed since 1450.

Simulated morphodynamics
- DELFT3D numerical modelling:
  1. 2D depth-averaged flow, spiral flow approximation
  2. Engelund-Hansen sediment transport prediction
- Idealised scenarios:
  1. Shallow vs deep basin (initial compaction uncertain)
  2. Tides / no tides (effect of tides on the avulsion)
  3. 1 decade of floods over 10, 50 and 200 year old delta
- Results:
  1. Delta builds out in avulsion cycles: mouth bar formation, back-sedimentation, avulsion
  2. Tides make delta much larger; deeper basin reduces tide effect
  3. Bifurcation unstable → old channel fills up
  4. New delta increase friction → backwater increases upstream flood levels

Geological reconstruction
- Delta splay prograded into an inland basin (see poster Lavooi et al. EP51C)
- Sediment influx = total bed sediment load of the Rhine branch
- Description: Kleinhans et al. 2010

Results:
- 151x10^6 m^3 = 580000 m^3/yr ≈ 8 mm/yr

Synthesis
- Comparisons of “reconstruction” and “simulation” approach:
  1. Model and historical maps in good agreement (factor 2)
  2. Model simulations predict higher upstream flooding risk.
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Conclusions
Ultimate causes of flooding disaster were human action (→ peat compaction) and negligence (→ dike breaches)
- New bifurcation was unstable and unbalanced →
  1. Former channel silted up (and is being dredged annually to date)
  2. Inland deltaic splay captured entire sediment load of the Rhine;
  3. Sedimentation proceeded through cycles of mouth bar formation, channel gradient reduction, backward sedimentation, local upstream avulsion and renewed mouth bar build-up;
  4. Increased flow resistance caused higher upstream flood water levels and no backward channel degradation

Building new land to mitigate flooding risk in subsiding deltas must account for bifurcation instability, slow delta building and increased upstream flooding risk.