The HyperHydro (H^2) experiment for comparing different large-scale hydrological models



Edwin Sutanudjaja (1, <u>e.h.sutanudjaja@uu.nl</u>), Hylke Beck (2), Joyce Bosmans (1), Nathaniel Chaney (3), Martyn Clark (4), Laura Condon (5), Cedric David (6), Jason Davison (7,8), Ad de Roo (1,2), Petra Döll (9), Niels Drost (10), Stephanie Eisner (11), James Famiglietti (6,12), Martina Flörke (11), James Gilbert (5), David Gochis (4), Harrie-Jan Hendricks-Franssen (13,15), Rolf Hut (14), Jessica Keune (15,16), Stefan Kollet (13,15), Rohini Kumar (17), Reed Maxwell (5), Hannes Müller Schmied (9,18), Ming Pan (3), Oldrich Rakovec (17), John Reager (6), Luis Samaniego (17), Jaap Schellekens (19), Edward Sudicky (7,8), Stephan Thober (17), Tim Trautmann (9), Rens van Beek (1), Nick van de Giesen (14), Eric Wood (3), Marc Bierkens (1,19)

> 1 Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands; 2 European Commission - Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy; 3 Princeton, NJ, USA; 4 NCAR HR Regional Modelling, Boulder, CO, USA; 5 Integrated Ground Water Modeling Center, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO, USA; 6 6 Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA; 7 University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany; 10 Netherlands eScience Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; 8 11 University of Kassel, Kassel, Germany; 12 University of California Center for Hydrologic Modeling, Irvine, CA, USA, Golden, CO, USA; 13 Agrosphere (IBG-3), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Germany; 14 Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands; 15 Centre for High-Performance Scientific Computing in Terrestrial Systems, Geoverbund ABC/J, Germany; 17 UFZ Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Leipzig, Germany; 17 18 Senckenberg Biodiversity & Climate Research Centre (BiK-F), Frankfurt, Germany; 19 Deltares, Delft, The Netherlands

Overview:

HyperHydro (<u>http://www.hyperhydro.org/</u>) is an open network of scientists with the aim of simulating large-scale models at highresolution (Wood et al., 2011, doi: 10.1029/2010WR010090; Bierkens et al., 2014, doi: 10.1002/hyp.10391). We initiated the **H^2** experiment for comparing different large-scale hydrological models, at various spatial resolutions, from 50 km to 1 km. Model results are evaluated to available observation compared across models and data and resolutions.

Methodology:

The modeling protocol is summarized below:

- As the starting point, we use the Rhine and San Joaquin river basins as the test bed areas. In the near future, we have an ambition to extend our study areas to the CONUS (Contiguous-US) and EURO-CORDEX (Europe) domains.
- Models can be run at 4 spatial resolutions for inter-comparison:
- 1/2-degree (30-min, ~50km)
- 1/8-degree (12.5km) or 5-min (~10km)
- 4 km
- 1 km
- Modeled moisture, evaporation, latent soil heat flux, discharge, runoff, groundwater table level, snow water equivalent are compared among the models and with ground truth and/or remote sensing data.

Workshop:

To start the experiment, a modeling workshop was organized in Utrecht on 9-12 June 2015. The setup of the modeling workshop was related to the three month appointment of Prof. Reed Maxwell as a Belle van Zuylen chair at Utrecht University.

Forcing:

We use the same forcing: 4km (NLDAS-based) forcing from Princeton University is used over the CONUS (including San Joaquin). 5km EFAS forcing from EU JRC is used for the EURO-CORDEX (Rhine).



Fig. 3 – (a) Total annual precipitation [mm] from EFAS gridded observations over the Rhine. The EFAS forcing is verified with 3429 station observations from the German Weather Service (DWD), located in Germany. It shows a mean bias of 0.3 mm/day over the entire domain and all available stations; as indicated in the histogram of (b). The Brier Scores in (c) for daily precipitation events and for different thresholds indicate a good accuracy of the EFAS precipitation used to force the hydrological models. The decomposition of the Brier score shows that the modelled precipitation is reliable.















the WaterGAP model (30-min and 5-min). Some indicators of model performance evaluated to GRDC data are also given

Current results for the San Joaquin and CONUS:



Fig. 6 - Total annual evaporation [mm] for the year 2008 over the San-Joaquin region (California) from the model simulation results of (a) VIC at the spatial resolution of 4 km and (b) Parflow-CLM at the spatial resolution of 1 km.





30-min (a) and 0.0625-deg (b), from the PCR-GLOBWB model at 30-min (c) and 5-min (d), and from the WaterGAP model at 30-min (e) and 5-min (f)

Fig. 7 - Total annual evaporation [mm] for the year 2008 over the CONUS region from the model simulation results of (a) WaterGAP at the spatial resolution of 30 arc-minute (~ 50 km) and (b) VIC at the spatial resolution of 4 km.