The effect of truncating the normal mode coupling equations on synthetic spectra

Fatemeh Akbarashrafi¹, Andrew Valentine¹, David Al-Attar², Jeannot Trampert¹ ¹Universiteit Utrecht; ²University of Cambridge f.akbarashrafi@uu.nl



Introduction

Seismic waves at very low frequencies (e.g. between 0.1 mHz and 10 mHz) can be used to understand the free oscillations of the Earth. These result from the constructive interference of traveling waves in opposite directions, and can be expressed in terms of the eigenfunctions of the Earth. This infinite set of eigenfunctions constitute a complete mathematical basis, and can be used to calculate synthetic seismograms. In 3D earth models, this is can be done using a procedure known as "mode coupling". In order to implement the calculation, it is necessary to select a finite subset of modes (defined as a frequency range) to be considered. This truncation of the infinite-dimensional equations necessarily introduces an error into the results. Here we consider the fundamental question: if we wish to calculate synthetic spectra in a given

3. Histograms of truncation errors



erence spectra and others (see legend) at a parent that coupling at least up to $\sim 4 \,\mathrm{mHz}$ global distribution of stations (see map).We is necessary, depending on the input model, show one histogram for the range 0.2- if we wish to image Earth's density struc-3 mHz, and also the same dataset in the ture using observations up to 3 mHz.

Below: Histograms of misfit between ref- ranges 0.2–2.5 mHz and 2.5–3 mHz.It is ap-



frequency range (ω_1, ω_2) , how many modes must we couple for the resulting spectra to be sufficiently accurate?

1. Methods

For an SNREI (spherical, non-rotating, elastic, isotropic) earth model, the equations governing free oscillations are reasonably straightforward to solve. Thus, it is possible to compute the normal modes of a 1D model such as PREM. Each mode has a specific frequency, and in the 1D case oscillates independently of all other modes.

3D effects (including rotation, ellipticity, and lateral heterogeneity) can be taken into account by allowing interaction (energy exchange) between modes. The strongest coupling occurs between modes close in frequency, leading to approximations such as "self coupling" and "group coupling", but a complete treatment requires us to allow each mode to interact with every other mode. However, numerical implementation of this requires us to work with a finite set of spherical earth eigenfunctions, neglecting coupling with modes outside that set.

To investigate this issue, we compute spectra in 3D models up to $3 \,\mathrm{mHz}$ with ellipticity and rotation, but allowing coupling with all modes up to $6 \,\mathrm{mHz}$. We treat these as "reference" spectra. We then investigate how the spectra change as we reduce the upper frequency used in coupling. We compare this

We repeat the calculations but instead of S20RTS we use a compared to S20RTS, but without horizontal or vertical corremodel composed of random numbers. While S20RTS has well known correlation lengths horizontally and vertically, the random numbers we generated have not. As in S20RTS V_p and ρ are scaled versions of the V_s structure. This results in a model with significantly stronger short-wavelength structure

lation. We see that the latter reduces the effect of density since that is some integral of the model with the eigenfunctions over space. Because the signal is smaller, the relative effect of the truncation errors becomes more pronounced.



to the effect of removing lateral variations in density from the	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.06		0.00	0.02	0.04	0.06
model.	Misfit			Misfit					Misfit				

2. Example of spectra



the 1994 Bolivia earthquake. We use the Iterative Direct Solution Method (Al-Attar *et al.*, 2012) to compute individual spectra for the 3D mantle model S20RTS (Ritsema et al., 1999).

The truncation error is the misfit between the reference spectra and those truncated at lower cut-off frequencies. The density effect is the misfit between 6mHz spectra with and without density. The misfit is defined as

$$\chi(\omega_c) = \sum_{\omega} \sqrt{\left(S(\omega_c, \omega) - S_{ref}(\omega)\right)^* \left(S(\omega_c, \omega) - S_{ref}(\omega)\right)}.$$

Synthetic spectra are calculated using full mode coupling for Truncation errors can be significant compared to the contribution from density. Thus accurate imaging of Earth's density structure requires coupling to well above the frequency range of interest.

> **Black:** Reference spectra (complete up to 6 mHz); Blue: Truncation error introduced by coupling to lower frequencies than 6mHz;

> **Red:** Effect of removing density variations from S20RTS (i.e., incorporating V_s and V_p heterogeneity only; in S20RTS, V_p and ρ are scaled to V_s);



Above: The relative misfit is computed by dividing the mis- a certain spherical harmonic degree. This relative misfit is creasing frequency and that the truncation level depends on fit from truncation by the misfit from neglecting density. This calculated for each seismic station and then averaged over all the model power and correlation lengths. is done in a certain frequency range and for a model up to stations. It is clear that the truncation error increases for in-

Conclusion	References		
 Truncating the normal mode coupling equations introduces error into synthetic spectra; For accurate imaging of earth struc- 	 heterogeneity; Truncation errors grow as we approach the cutoff frequency; It is not sufficient to simply couple 	• For accurate spectra at 3 mHz, it appears that coupling to at least ~4mHz may be necessary (for S20RTS-like structure), but the exact number depends on the correlation lengths of the	 Al-Attar, D. Woodhouse, J. H. Deuss, A., 2012. Calculation of normal mode spectra in laterally heterogeneous earth models using an iterative direct solution method, <i>Geophys. J. Int.</i>, 189, 1038-1046. Bitsema, J. van Heijst, HJ. Woodhouse, J.H. 1999. Com-
ture, these truncation errors must be negligible compared to effects due to est;	model;	plex shear wave velocity structure imaged beneath Africa and Iceland, <i>Science</i> , 286, 1925–1928.	