Combined effects of mud and vegetation on river morphology

B.M.L. de Vries, M. Van Oorschot, L. Braat, M.G. Kleinhans Faculty of Geosciences, Universiteit Utrecht, 3508 TC Utrecht, The Netherlands

Correspondence to B.M.L. de Vries. E-mail: b.m.l.devries@students.uu.nl

Universiteit Utrecht



Faculty of Geosciences

Research group **River and delta morphodynamics**



Background

Both cohesive sediment (mud) and riparian vegetation interact with river morphodynamics and affect the formation of river channel patterns (for reviews: Kleinhans, 2010; Gurnell, 2014). It is still unknown how the two-way interaction between mud and riparian vegetation affects the morphological development of river systems. A better understanding of this interaction would improve predictive models for river management.





Additional mode	l settings:		
Parameter	Low	Default	High
Mud supply (kg/m ³)	5e-3	2e-2	5e-2 & 1e-1
PmCrit (-)	0.2	0.4	0.6



			All and a large	
Active layer thickness (m)	-	0.03	0.1	
Critical shear stress for mud erosion (N/m ²)	0.1	0.2	0.5	



Morphology after 300 years



Mud and vegetation cover over time



Comparison to field data

Mud, vegetation and bed dynamics



Conclusions

New: combination mud and vegetation in numerical river model



Vegetation causes increased mud deposition, especially near the channel

Mud had little effect on morphodynamics and vegetation development

Positive feedback between channel stability and vegetation development near nonerodible points may lead to a decrease in system dynamics

References

- Gurnell, A. (2014). Plants as river system engineers. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 39(1), 4-25. Kleinhans, M. G. (2010). Sorting out river channel patterns. Progress in Physical Geography, 34(3), 287-326. Van Oorschot, M., Kleinhans, M., Geerling, G., and Middelkoop, H. (2015). Distinct patterns of interaction between vegetation and morphodynamics. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms,
- 41, 791-808.