Universiteit Utrecht

# Drivers of social interaction: exploring the effect of modality styles on face-to-face contacts

Zidan Mao, Dick Ettema

### **Research Backgroud**

- Importance of social interactions
- Car use: environmental vs. social sustainability
- Alternative to car dependent: multimodality

### **Research Question**

family solidarity

• How individuals' social interactions with different companions are related to their modality styles in the context of a developing country, with a family oriented and collectivist culture (Beijing, China)?

### Data

"Daily Activity and Travel Survey of Beijing, 2012", by Peking University

- 709 respondents
- Socio-economic characteristics
- One -week's activity diary data
- Spatial attributes: Points of Interest (POI) data

### **Dataset for this study**

- **410** commuters
- **2063** out-of-base non-work activity episodes
- **2870** days (2020 commute days, 850 non-commute days)
- Solo activities and 3 types of social contacts: family members, friends, colleagues





# Department of Human Geography and Spatial Planning, Faculty of Geosciences, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

# exchange o



### **Face-to-face interaction with different companions**

Measurement: daily level, non-work activities, out-of-base, total duration (Figure 1) • More solo activities and interactions with colleagues in commute days

- Interaction durations are longer in non-commute days

# **Activity type with different companions (Figure 2)**

- Solo: personal, eating-out and shopping
- Family : eating-out/shopping/personal, more household affairs than other companions
- Friends: eating-out, social and recreational activities
- Colleagues: eating-out



## **Distribution of modality styles**

- **Modality:** monomodality as exclusively using a single mode for more than 90% of trips in the week; multimodality as the use of at least two modes
- Habitual modes: most frequently used mode (car, public transport, active modes)
- 6 subgroups (Figure 4)

### Figure 4. Distribution of modality styles



## Methodology: Multivariate Tobit model

- **Censored distribution**
- zero-observations for certain companion types
- **Relation between interactions with different companions** Seemingly Unrelated Regression (SUR)
- Repeated observations for each individual

### **Figure 3. Activity types for different companions**

- Personal affairs
- Household affairs
- Eating-out activities
- Shopping
- Social and recreational affairs
- Other activities

### Monomodal

- Mono Car
- Mono PT
- Mono Act
- Multi Car
- Multi PT
- Multi Act

### **Model Results**

Family member  $\stackrel{+}{\longleftrightarrow}$  Friends  $\stackrel{+}{\longleftrightarrow}$  Family members not significant Mono PT —> family member (-), friends(-) Mono Act -> friends (-) Multi PT —> family member (-), friends(-) Multi Act —> family member (-), friends(-) Multi Car/solo, interaction with colleagues: no significant difference Multi Car—> family members (+) Multi PT -> solo activities(+) Multi Act -> solo activities (+), friends (+) **Non-commute day:** Male: friends (+) Higher Income: family(+) Married: family(+), friends (-) **Restaurants around home: friends (+)** Conclusion • The influence of modality styles differ across companion types, as well as between commute and non-commute days Advantages for car users in commute days, facilitating interactions with family members and friends time constraints imposed by work and commute; the flexibility and capability offered by car More out-of-base activity for multimodal traveler in non-commute days high density and mixed landscape in Beijing

**Rho Correlations between the interactions with different companions Commute Day:** Non-commute day: **Modality styles** (Mono Car users as reference category) **Commute Day:** Non-commute day: Mono PT—> family members (-) Work and daily attributes Day (Friday, Sunday), work durations **Personal and spatial factors Commute day:** Female : family members (+) Male : friends (+) , colleagues (+) **Higher Educated** : colleagues (+) Married : family(+), friends(-), colleagues(-) **Extended household** : friends (-) , Colleagues (-) **No. of restaurants around home : family (+)** No. of public recreational facilities around workplace: friends (+)

### **Further Research**

- Trade-off between out-of-base and in-base interactions
- activity (a latent-class approach)

# **Faculty of Geosciences** Human Geography and Spatial Planning

D.F.Ettema@uu.nl

Exploring higher-level orientations or lifestyles: affecting both travel and