# P wave travel time variations in Groningen gas field caused by reservoir compaction

Wen Zhou (w.zhou @uu.nl), Hanneke Paulssen and André Niemeijer Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

### Introduction

The **Groningen gas field in The Netherlands** is one of the largest onshore gas field in the world. (1) In 2013 two former production wells were equipped with geophone strings at 3 km depth to monitor the seismicity in the reservoir.

(2) Using noise interferometry by cross-correlation, Zhou & Paulssen (2017) accurately retrieved the P and S velocity structure of the reservoir for borehole SDM-1.

(3) In the present study we show that signals from nearby passing trains can be used to infer time-lapse variations in the reservoir.



Fig.1. (a), Location of the Groningen gas field, seismicity and location of borehole SDM-1 and ZRP-1. (b), Cross-section with borehole locations. (c) Location of SDM-1 within surface setting.



# Conclusions

Train noise interferometry by deconvolution using deep borehole data allows the detection of small time lapse P wave travel time variations with a ~0.03 ms uncertainty over half a year. The travel times appear to consistently decrease with time which is likely due to compaction of the gas reservoir. A large travel time anomaly of up to 6% seems related to the drilling of a borehole at 5 km distance.

![](_page_0_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_12.jpeg)

# Train noise interferometry by deconvolution

Train signals are identified from noise spectrograms. They are identified every 30 minutes from 5:00 to 20:00 for both directions, and every 1 hour from 20:00 to 1:00. Deconvolutions were calculated for 20 seconds of train noise with the highest amplitude. Data were analysed for two separate deployments: 23 Jan - 28 Jun 2015, and 03 Jul - 1 Dec 2015.

![](_page_0_Figure_16.jpeg)

Fig.3. Vertical component train noise deconvolutions using the signal of the top geophone (stacked over Jul to Dec 2015).

![](_page_0_Figure_21.jpeg)

Fig.7. Travel times (from daily stacks) between Geophone 2 in the claystone to Geophone 8 in the sandstone above the GWC. Linear fits to the data show a slight travel time decrease of ~0.022±0.028 ms for period 1. The travel time decrease during period 2 is 0.024±0.024 ms as measured from trains from Stedum to Loppersum (blue) and 0.048±0.035 ms for trains in the opposite direction (red). Although just barely significant, these travel time decreases of 0.05% - 0.1% cannot be explained by vertical shortening only, which would give ~0.003% for 7 mm per year. An additional P velocity increase due to compaction (Hatchell & Bourne, 2005) seems needed to explain the data.

## References

noise interferometry. Geophysical Research Letters, 44(23)

![](_page_0_Picture_26.jpeg)

**Fig.2.** Vertical component spectrograms from the bottom geophone. (a) Noise from a train leaving Stedum station at 12:09, reaching its highest amplitude 1 minute later. (b) Noise from a train from Loppersum arriving at Stedum station at 12:18, with highest amplitude 1 minute before. Vertical dashed lines indicate the time intervals used for interferometry by deconvolution.

![](_page_0_Figure_28.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_30.jpeg)

the drilling.

#### Acknowledgements

Hatchell, P. and Bourne, S. (2005). "Rocks under strain: Strain-induced time-lapse time shifts are observed for depleting reservoirs." The Leading Edge, 24(12), 1222-1225

Zhou, W., Paulssen, H. (2017). P and S velocity structure in the Groningen gas reservoir from

We thank the NAM for providing us with the data. This project has received funding from European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grand agreement No 642029-ITN CREEP.

Fig.4. P velocity profile inferred from the travel times of the deconvolutions: (a) from Jan to Jun 2015, and (b) from Jul to Dec 2015. The profiles are slightly different because the geophones were not perfectly repositioned at their original locations. P velocity profile from well-log data is shown in green. (Note that geophone 9 did not work during the second deployment.)

Fig.8. Individual travel time measurements from Geophone 8 (above GWC) to Geophone 10 (below GWC). Period 1 does not show clear variations, but during period 2, from 17 July to 2 September, there is a strong travel time decrease of up to 0.8 ms (6%). This anomaly seems related to the drilling of borehole ZRP-3A at 5 km distance. Red arrows indicate the starting and ending day of