



# Active deformation of the Los Humeros caldera floor inferred from **Envisat and Sentinel-1 InSAR**

Eszter Békési<sup>1</sup>, Peter A. Fokker<sup>1,2</sup>, Joana E. Martins<sup>2,3</sup>, Jan-Diederik van Wees<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, Princetonlaan 4, 3584 CB Utrecht, Netherlands <sup>2</sup> TNO Utrecht, Princetonlaan 6, 3584 CB Utrecht, Netherlands <sup>3</sup>TU Delft Faculty Of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Stevinweg 1, 2628 CN Delft



# Introduction

- We estimate ground motions at the Los Humeros Geothermal Field, Mexico by Envisat and Sentinel-1 interferometry to infer for reservoir processes and properties.
- We map and model the long-term deformation between 2003-2007 and 2015-2019 by Persistent Scatterer Interferometry (PSI).
- We investigate the coseismic deformation due to the 8 February 2016, Mw=4.2 earthquake that occurred seven days after a sharp increase in the injection rate in the H-29D well.
- The focal mechanism solution by [1] shows a reverse movement with a minor left-lateral component: Mw=4.2, depth=1500m, strike=169°, dip=61°, rake=42°.
- We apply elastic dislocation models on a rectangle with uniform slip to reproduce the coseismic deformation pattern. We test singel fault inverse models and also a more complex fault geometry with a segmented fault.

# InSAR data processing

- We performed PSI time series analysis of 13 Envisat images between April 2003 and March 2007, and 20 Sentinel-1 images between February 2016 and May 2019 to map the long-term deformation.
- To map the coseismic deformation due to the February 8, 2016 earthquake, we used ascending and descending image pairs with acquisition dates of 29 January 2016 - 10 February 2016 and 7 February 2016 - 19 February 2016.
- The GAMMA software was used for the processing of the individual interferograms [2].
- For the time series analysis, we followed the PSI method using the MATLAB-based workflow of STAMPS [3].





Major faults, caldera rims, and the *location of the wells at the Los Humeros* Geothermal Field. The yellow star indicates the epicentre of the 8 February 2016, Mw 4.2 earthquake after

### Models for the coseismic deformation

- The InSAR data were subsampled using an adaptive quadtree sampling algorithm [4].
- First, we modeled the coseismic deformation by a single fault. The Bayesian [5] inversion targeted a forward model for a rectangular dislocation in an elastic half-space [6] with nine adjustable parameters.
- The observed surface movements could not be reproduced by the joint inversion of the two interferograms, the models have errors up to 100 % [7].
- We constructed a forward model describing a segmented fault



Reactivated segmen of the faults

Permeable zon



### **Long-term deformation**

- Movements away from the satellite (~subsidence) are concentrated to the Los Potreros caldera with up to 8 mm/year near the inner-caldera structures for both time series datasets.
- The Los Humeros fault in the North, and the Maxtaloya fault in the South appear to constrain the western boundary of the deforming area.





- with two fault planes, fitting in the structural framework of the area, and consistent with the surface rupture.
- Our two fault model shows a very good fit with the InSAR data, with RMS misfits of 4 mm with the ascending, and 5 mm with the descending data.





#### **Discussion and conclusions**

- Persistent Scatterer Interferometry (PSI) time series analysis for the period of 2003-2007 and 2016-2019 shows that the local ground deformation of up to 8 mm/year correlates with the exploitation area.
- Deformation of the center of the Los Potreros caldera floor is also observed near the Las Papas fault, where no production wells are drilled. This area is also marked by natural seismicity, suggesing that **neotectonic deformations** connected to volcano-tectonic activity may exist.
- Despite the single fault inverse dislocation models for the coseismic deformation of the 8 February, **2016 earthquake**, we manage to resolve the observed ground deformation pattern by taking into consideration the complexity in the subsurface having a segmented fault with two fault planes.
- The InSAR observations are in good agreement with the coseismic deformation mapped by [9]. However, their forward model shows misfits up to  $\sim$ 50 mm.
- The observed surface movements together with the modeling results indicate the reverse kinematics of the Los Humeros fault and the normal kinematics of the deeper segment of the eastward dipping La Cuesta fault.
- Despite focal mechanism solutions, coseismic deformation mapped with high-resolution satellite data facilitate to infer for more complex fault geometry.

	One fault model I wo fault m		it model	Focal mechanism
		fault 1	fault 2	solution after[1]
Strike [°]	160	160	340	169
Dip [°]	59	65	80	61
Rake [°]	74	75	-75	42
Length [m]	1655	1600	1600	-
Width [m]	1301	1000	400	-
Depth* [m]	0.89	200	926	1900
X position** [m]	662240	662200	661802	662280
Y position** [m]	2175600	2175650	2175505	2177864
Slip [m]	0.188	0.31	0.31	-
Mw	-	-	-	4.2

\*In case of our model, it marks the depth of the top edge of the rectangular plane, which is different from the focal depth by [1]. \*\*In case of our models, these are the X and Y UTM coordinates of the center of the top edge of the rectangular plane.

#### Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the GEMex Project, funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 727550. We acknowledge the Comision Federal de Electricidad (CFE) for kindly providing support and advice and for granting access to their geothermal fields. Data has been kindly provided by CFE.We also acknowledge our Mexican colleagues for their help an collaboration.

• Our study demonstrates that ground movements mapped with **InSAR** and interpreted by **taking** into account other geological and geophysical observations can significantly contribute to our understanding of the geological features that control the geothermal reservoir.

#### References

[1] FLermo Samaniego, J. F., Lorenzo, C., Antayhua, Y., Ramos, E., & Jiménez, N.: Sísmica pasiva en el campo geotérmico de los Humeros, Puebla- México y su relación con los pozos inyectores. XVIII Congreso Peruano de Geología 2016, Sociedad Geológica del Perú, Lima, Perú, (2016), p. 5.

[2] Wegmüller, U., Werner, C.: Gamma SAR processor and interferometry software. Proceedings of the 3rd ERS Symposium 1997, Florence, Italy, (1997), 14–21.

[3] Hooper, A., Segall, P., and Zebker, H., 2007, Persistent scatterer interferometric synthetic aperture radar for crustal deformation analysis, with application to Volcán Alcedo, Galápagos: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, v. 112, no. B7.

[4] Decriem, J., Árnadóttir, T., Hooper, A., Geirsson, H., Sigmundsson, F., Keiding, M., et al.: The 2008 May 29 earthquake doublet in SW Iceland. Geophysical Journal International, (2010), 181(2), 1128–1146.

[5] Bagnardi, M., Hooper, A.: Inversion of surface deformation data for rapid estimates of source parameters and uncertainties: A Bayesian approach. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, (2018), 19(7), 2194-2211.

[6] Okada, Y.: Surface deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space. Bulletin of the seismological society of America, (1985), 75(4), 1135-1154.

[7] Békési, E., Fokker, P. A., Martins, J. E., and van Wees, J.-D., Inversion of coseismic deformation due to the 8th February 2016, Mw 4.2 earthquake at Los Humeros (Mexico) inferred from DInSAR, in Proceedings Proceedings of the European Geothermal Congress 2019. [8] Békési, E., Fokker, P. A., Martins, J. E., Limberger, J., Bonté, D., and van Wees, J.-D., 2019a, Production-Induced Subsidence at the Los Humeros Geothermal Field Inferred from PS-InSAR: Geofluids. v. 2019.

[9] Santos-Basurto, R., Sarychikhina, O., López-Quiroz, P., Norini, G., Carrasco-Núñez, G.: The Mw 4.2 (February 8th, 2016) earthquake detected inside of Los Humeros caldera, Puebla-Mexico, by means of DInSAR. European Geosciences Union 2018, Vienna, Austria (2018), poster 18431.