

Explaining the spatial pattern of the debris flow and flood hazard in High Mountain Asia

Varvara Bazilova, Tjalling de Haas, Walter Immerzeel

- Estimated probabilities of the catchment being debris flow vs flood dominated
- Area, Melton ratio, mean/median slope and some permafrost conditions are important
- Climate does not add a lot of new information to the classification



I. Data:

Target values (Y):

•manually identify the catchments, based on the shape of the alluvial fan ("target value")

Features (X):

• morphimetric (resamble the shape of the catchments)



v.bazilova@uu.nl

@varyabazilova



•build a dataset with the characteristics of these catchments (features) for each catchment



- climatic (describe the temperature and precipitation regime) - from ERA5-Land •glaciers (RGI v6.0)
- •permafrost (Obu et al., 2018)

II.Methods:



•Catboost (gradient boosted trees)

•2 separate models: Morphometric and **Morphometric + climate** features as input

1.0rate

bositive 0.4 true 0.0 0.2 0.0

Evaluate the model:

false positive rate





III. Results: Feature importance. How did model make this prediction?



- small areas, small Melton ratio (relief*area^{0.5}) make it more likely to be classified as "debris flow" (1)
- high mean and median slope bring the model result closer to the "flood" class (0)
- low fraction of sporadic permafrost drags the model towards "flood" (0) class

IV. Next steps:

- Expand the dataset to cover more areas Add vegetation coverage as predictor • Apply the model beyond the training dataset
- Explore the regional differences and spatial patterns
- Asses the climate change impact ("forse" the model with climate scenarios)