

Magnetic Properties of Simulated Fault Gouges from the Seismogenic Groningen Gas Field

Qiang Fu¹ (q.fu1@uu.nl), Mark J. Dekkers¹, Simo Spassov², Michael Volk¹, André R. Niemeijer¹, Chien-Cheng Hung¹ ¹Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, The Netherlands; ²Geophysical Centre of the Royal Meteorological Institute of Belgium, Dourbes, Belgium

1. Introduction

The Groningen gas field (NE Netherlands) stands as one of the largest onshore gas fields in the world. In recent years, a substantial number of induced earthquakes (maximum magnitude ML = 3.6 occurred in 2012) has sparked considerable public concern. Knowledge of the mechanical behavior of fault gouge is indispensable to decipher the mechanics of fault motion, induced seismicity, and associated hazards. Recently, friction experiments of fault gouges and resultant changes in rock magnetic properties were proposed as a diagnostic tool to trace elevated temperature and/or fluid motion tied to seismic activity.

2. Methods and facilities

Here we focus on the rock magnetic properties of powdered natural samples of the Slochteren sandstone, the reservoir rock of the Groningen gas field, sheared experimentally under both dry and wet conditions and slip velocities between 1 and 50 mm/s with 2.5 and 5 MPa normal stress. High-field thermomagnetic runs, acquisition curves of the isothermal remanent magnetization and anhysteretic remanent magnetization at room temperature, and low-temperature hysteresis loops were measured.



Figure 1. Magnetic measurement facilities employed for our research

3. Results

Magnetization-temperature curves (Fig. 2)

r402_Starting material: heating and cooling curves are almost reversible; continuous decrease between room temperature to 350 °C.

r218_dry condition: new magnetic mineral is formed during cooling. r210_wet condition: drops to zero at ~750 $^{\circ}$ C; new magnetic mineral is formed during cooling.

 Low-temperature hysteresis loops (Fig. 3) Bc of ~30 mT in r402 and r218; Bc of ~15 mT in r210.

• Low-temperature ZFC and IFC M-T warming curves (Fig. 4) Discontinuities at ~35K and 120K in both heated and unheated curves of sample r402; Discontinuities at ~35K and 120K in unheated curves of sample r218; Discontinuity at ~35K in unheated curves of sample r210.

• IRM acquisition curves (Fig. 5)

r402 and r218: reach 70-80% saturation at ~300 mT, not saturation at 2.5T; r210: reach 90% saturation at ~200 mT, saturating at 0.5T (unheated) and 1.5 T (heated to 1053K).



• χ/χ_{ARM} values (Fig. 6): lower than 100.

Field (T) Field (T) Figure 3. Hysteresis loops at 300 K, 130K and 10 K and enlarged range of the hysteresis loop (inset), IFC: in field cooling; ZFC: zero field cooling.

Figure 6. Plot of χ/χ ARM size for grain versus synthetic magnetite, modified from Liu et al (2004), black dots mark χ/χARM ratio summarized by Liu et al. (2004), horizontal solid lines indicate the χ/χ ARM values of this study.

Figure 5. IRM acquisition curves of unheated samples and after heating to the indicated temperatures, the right panel is normalized by the maximum.