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I. Data

Target variable (Y): 1806 points across HMA

- Manually identify catchments, based on the properties of the alluvial fan (Google Earth)
- Build a dataset* with the characteristic (features) for each catchments

Features (X)*:

- Morphometric (shape of the catchment). Do not change in time.
- Climatic (precipitation and temperature regimes, affects water and sediment availability), downscaled ERA5 Land
- Vegetation (based on ndvi)
- Glaciers (RGI v6.0)
- Permafrost (Obu et al, 2019)

*We build 2 datasets: with Morphometric and Morphometric + Climatic features to evaluate the climatic drivers of the DFs and compare our methods with the previous assessments.

II. Methods

Building the model:

- Catboost (gradient boosted trees)
- 2 separate models (Morphometric and Morphometric + Climatic features as an input)

Evaluating the model:

- Random guess accuracy: 59.2%
- Accuracy: 82%, 83%
- Area under ROC curve: 0.89, 0.91

III. Results

(1) How did the model make predictions?

- Region is a “category” -> no numeric value
- Small perimeter, small area and large slope make model output closer to the Debris Flow class (1)
- Small amount of snowfall per year drags the model towards Flood (0) class

(2) Comparison with “traditional” methods

“Traditional” methods usually mean separating data based on the relationship between some morphometric parameters (e.g. slope and Melton ratio (Bertrand et al., 2013))

This approach has been proven to work reasonably well on a small scale studies, but there is no clear separation on the HMA-scale

IV. Conclusions and Prospective

- Machine learning models improve classification of Debris flow dominated catchments, providing the probability
- Climate data adds information to the model accuracy and has predictive power: important to include
- Important features control sediment production (frost cracking, snowpack) and sediment delivery (slope) which matches studies, focused on physical processes
- Climate change scenarios? How will debris flow susceptibility change in the future?
- Explore more the regional differences in the feature importance: does the climate matter more where there is the strongest change?